BEYOND 31 MAG/ARCSEC²: THE LOW SURFACE BRIGHTNESS FRONTIER WITH THE LARGEST OPTICAL TELESCOPES

Ignacio Trujillo¹ and Jüergen Fliri

Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, c/ Vía Láctea s/n, E-38205, La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain and Departamento de Astrofísica, Universidad de La Laguna, E-38206, La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

To appear in ApJ

ABSTRACT

The detection of optical surface brightness structures in the sky with magnitudes fainter than 30 mag/arcsec² (3σ in 10×10 arcsec boxes; r-band) has remained elusive in current photometric deep surveys. Here we show how present-day 10 meter class telescopes can provide broadband imaging 1.5-2 mag deeper than most previous results within a reasonable amount of time (i.e. <10h on source integration). In particular, we illustrate the ability of the 10.4 m Gran Telescopio de Canarias (GTC) telescope to produce imaging with a limiting surface brightness of 31.5 mag/arcsec² (3σ in 10×10 arcsec boxes; r-band) using 8.1 hours on source. We apply this power to explore the stellar halo of the galaxy UGC00180, a galaxy analogous to M31 located at ~150 Mpc, by obtaining a surface brightness radial profile down to $\mu_r \sim 33$ mag/arcsec². This depth is similar to that obtained using star counts techniques of Local Group galaxies, but is achieved at a distance where this technique is unfeasible. We find that the mass of the stellar halo of this galaxy is ~4×10⁹M_☉, i.e. $3\pm1\%$ of the total stellar mass of the whole system. This amount of mass in the stellar halo is in agreement with current theoretical expectations for galaxies of this kind.

Subject headings: galaxies: evolution – galaxies: formation – galaxies: halos – galaxies: photometry – galaxies: spiral

1. INTRODUCTION

Ongoing technological advances are enabling the observation of deeper data every day, allowing us to discover objects that were hidden to previous generations of astronomers. Nowadays, the deepest optical data, the Hubble Ultra Deep Field (HUDF; Beckwith et al. 2006), is able to detect point-like objects as faint as 29 mag $(10\sigma; using 0.2''$ apertures). While our ability to detect compact structures in deep optical surveys is impressive, when the photons spread over extended areas, the lack of contrast against the foreground sky penalizes our capacity to identify large objects.

Exploring astronomical objects with low surface brightness is extremely challenging from an observational point of view. It is not enough to have very deep data but a careful reduction and treatment of the sky is absolutely necessary. In fact, it is common to find in the literature very deep data where the handling of the sky (although optimized for the detection of the faintest point-like sources) is inappropriate for the characterization of the faintest surface brightness structures. In this sense, for instance, it is easy to find "holes" around the brightest extended galaxies in very deep surveys like the Canada-France-Hawaii Legacy Survey (CFHTLS; Goranova et al. 2009) or the HST eXtreme Deep Field (Illingworth et al. 2013). These are examples where the reduction pipeline has been probably very aggressive on subtracting the sky. This is very likely due to real signal, coming from low surface brightness features around the objects, being confused with the background of the image and, consequently, oversubstracted.

The treatment of the sky is not the only actor playing a major role on the ability to detect and characterize

the low surface brightness astronomical structures. In fact, there are many artifacts that affect the quality of the images: fringing, scattered light, ghosts, etc. All these phenomena generate surface brightness gradients on the images that enormously complicate the study of the faintest surface brightness components. To surpass all these problems, there have been an increasingly large number of works addressing these observational difficulties (e.g. Ferrarese et al. 2012; Duc et al. 2015; Fliri & Trujillo 2016). All these studies have pointed out the need for a careful preparation of the observational strategy and the reduction of the data. As the result of these efforts, state-of-the-art deep surveys aiming to explore the faintest surface brightness structures are currently reaching ~29-30 mag/arcsec² (3 σ , 10×10 arcsec boxes; e.g. Martínez-Delgado et al. 2010; Ferrarese et al. 2012; Merritt et al. 2014; Duc et al. 2015; Koda et al. 2015; Capaccioli et al. 2015). Most of these studies have been conducted with telescopes whose apertures range from small $(\sim 1m)$ to moderate $(\sim 4m)$. Is it possible to go significantly deeper with present-day largest (i.e. 10m class) telescopes? The goal of the present work is to explore the depth, in terms of surface brightness, that current largest optical telescopes can achieve within a reasonable amount of time (i.e. time on source less than 10h).

The study of the stellar halos surrounding nearby galaxies is one of the many reasons to conduct very deep imaging. Probing the stellar halos in a large number of galaxies is a strong test to the current ACDM galaxy formation scenario (e.g Bullock & Johnston 2005; Abadi et al. 2006; Johnston et al. 2008). In fact, stateof-the-art cosmological simulations suggest that virtually all present-day galaxies will show several streams and a prominent extended stellar halo if they are observed down to $\mu_V > 31 \text{ mag/arcsec}^2$ (e.g. Cooper et al. 2010). This prediction remains untested except for a very limited number of galaxies in the Local Group (Mc-Connachie et al. 2009; Ibata et al. 2009; Tanaka et al. 2011; Ibata et al. 2014; Peacock et al. 2015) where the resolved star counts technique has been used. In fact, with this technique, these studies have revealed features with equivalent surface brightness of $\sim 31-32 \text{ mag/arcsec}^2$. However, the technique of resolved star counts can not be applied very far away. Using the HST, Zackrisson et al. (2012) have estimated a maximum distance of 16 Mpc for this strategy. This considerably limits the volume, the number and the type of galaxies that can be studied. For this reason, we need to explore how deep we can go, in terms of surface brightness, with integrated photometry. In fact, considering the intrinsic stochasticity of the stellar halo formation process, the need of a larger sample of galaxies is clear if we want to probe the ACDM galaxy formation scenario in depth. The current paper is designed as a pilot project to explore whether integrated photometry can produce images as deep as the star counting technique. We will show that this is indeed feasible, opening the possibility of exploring the stellar halos to a much larger volume than the Local Group of galaxies.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we describe our data, the target selection criteria, the observational strategy and the data processing. Section 3 shows the results of our observation, and how the depth of our image compares with other previous surveys. In section 4, we explore the distribution of the scattered light in our field of view. The characteristics of the stellar halo of our targeted galaxy, UGC00180, as well as the effect of the point spread function (PSF) are described in Section 5. Section 6 discusses the main results of this paper and, finally, our work is summarized in Sect.7. Hereafter, we assume a cosmology with $\Omega_{\rm m} = 0.3$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$ and ${\rm H}_0 = 70 ~{\rm km ~s^{-1} ~Mpc^{-1}}$.

2. DATA

Ultra deep observations of the galaxy UGC00180 and its surrounded region were carried out with the Gran Telescopio de Canarias (GTC) using the OSIRIS (Optical System for Imaging and low-Intermediate-Resolution Integrated Spectroscopy) camera. OSIRIS has a total field of view (FOV) of $7.8' \times 8.5'$, of which $7.8' \times 7.8'$ are unvignetted. The OSIRIS camera is composed of two CCDs with a gap of 9.4'' between them. The pixel scale of the camera is 0.254''. The images were obtained using the Sloan r' filter during 6 (non-consecutive) nights. Images were taken under good seeing conditions, producing a final image with a Full Width Half Maximum (FWHM) seeing of $\sim 0.9''$.

2.1. Target selection

The selection of the galaxy, UGC00180, was done to assure that the OSIRIS FOV was able to cover a significant region of sky around the galaxy plus the possibility of exploring very extended stellar features surrounding the object. UGC00180 is a galaxy positioned at R.A.(2000)= 00h19m08.2s and Dec.(2000)=+15d44m57.6s. Its redshift is 0.0369. This locates the object at a distance of 151.3 Mpc, giving a scale of 0.733 kpc/". Consequently, a single shot of the OSIRIS camera covers 343×343 kpc at the galaxy distance. In addition, we decided to take this galaxy, with characteristics similar to the well explored massive galaxies in our vicinity, so we can have a reference to compare with. According to Hyperleda (Paturel et al. 2003), UGC00180 is a Sab massive galaxy (M_B=-21.76, V_{rot}=267.6±18.4 km/s). In this sense, this galaxy is comparable with M31, a massive Sb galaxy (M_B=-21.2, V_{rot}=256.7±6.1 km/s). UGC00180 has R₂₅(B-band)=32±3"(i.e. 23.5±2.2 kpc). This, together with its rotational velocity, translates into a dynamical mass of M_{dyn}=(3.9±0.9)×10¹¹ M_☉ inside its optical radius. Its global (Petrosian) color, according to NED, is g-r=0.78 (after correcting by Galactic extinction). Following Bell et al. (2003), this color is equivalent to a (M/L)_r=2.51 (Kroupa Initial Mass Function (IMF); Kroupa 2001). Consequently, the stellar mass of UGC00180 is M_{*}~1.3×10¹¹M_☉.

2.2. Observational strategy

The objective of our observation is to reach the theoretical surface brightness limit of GTC within the total amount of time allocated for this exercise (i.e. ~ 8.1 hours on source as we describe below). To achieve this goal, we need to deal with several observational biases that affect very deep observations: fringing, scattered light, saturation, ghosts, etc. For this reason, we have designed an observational strategy that aims to obtain a background as flat as possible around our galaxy target. To do that, in addition to conduct the usual dithering scheme, we have carried on a rotation pattern to remove as much as possible effects due to scattered (residual) light contamination. With the rotation pattern, we avoid that a potential reflected residual light (from the telescope dome, telescope structure, etc) affects the camera along the same position angle (P.A.) during the full set of pointings. Moreover, the large number (243) of images we get in the end allow us to build a very flat sky to reach our purpose.

The strategy that we have conducted is as follows. We have carried out 9 observing blocks, each block composed by three steps.

- Step A: The P.A. of the camera is fixed to a given angle and we make a dithering pattern of 9 positions.
- Step B: The P.A. is rotated 120 degrees with respect to the previous angle and we repeat the dithering pattern of 9 positions.
- Step C: The P.A. is rotated again another 120 degrees and we repeat a dithering pattern of 9 positions.

The offsets of the dithering sequence, both in R.A. as well as in Dec. are of 1'. This offset is more than enough to derive a proper background map considering the brightness and size of the astronomical objects in the field of view of our final image. Moreover, this guarantees that our target of interest, the galaxy UGC00180, is observed over the 100% of the time. At the end of each observing block we have 27 images. The observing blocks are identical to each other but starting with a different set of orientation angles. These are:

- 0 120 240
- 10 130 250
- 20 140 260
- 30 150 270
- 40 160 280
- 50 170 290
- 60 180 300
- 70 190 310
- 80 200 320

The observational strategy is illustrated in Fig. 1. Each pointing of the sequence has an exposure time of 120s. That corresponds to a total amount of time on source of 8.1 hours. It must be noted that shorter exposure times than the ones conducted here, although desirable to avoid large sky variation during each exposure, would prohibitively increase the amount of time allocated to overheads (the camera readout time is 21 seconds)¹. Consequently, a balance between both quantities is necessary. In addition to the previous set of images, there were also a number of shorter exposure times images (6 pointings of 5 seconds each and two pointing of 10 seconds) to avoid saturation of the central parts of UGC00180.

As we have mentioned before, each OSIRIS pointing covers an unvignetted region of $7.8' \times 7.8'$. The dithering and rotation sequence that we have conducted makes a final image covering a larger field of view $(12.7' \times 12.7')$. Fig. 2 shows the weight map resulting from the stacking process that we describe in the following sections. Within the central four arcmin of the image, the amount of observing time per pixel is quite homogeneous, with a standard deviation per pixel of ~2%. Our observing pattern allows the galaxy to never occupy exactly the same physical area of the CCD across the 243 exposures, helping in the building of an accurate background map. The observation pattern also helps to remove the gaps between the OSIRIS CCD.

2.3. Flat-field correction

An accurate estimation of the flat field correction is key for the purpose of this work. Dome flats are not useful for our goals due to inhomogeneities in the GTC Dome illumination. Consequently, our flat field correction should be based on sky imaging. Twilight flats, although better, are still insufficient for our purposes as variations between the night-sky and the twilight spectrum may result in subtle flat fielding differences. For this reason, we have decided to use our own set of science images to create a masterflat.

Masterflats are created for each observing night using a median of the normalized science images of that night. Ideally, one would like to use the full set of science images to obtain a much better masterflat, however, slight differences in the focus and vignetting correction from night to night prevent such approach. As mentioned before, the total number of nights used to complete our dataset were 6. The amount of data is such that there are at least 15 science images every night to combine and create the masterflat of that night. An independent masterflat is created for each CCD of the OSIRIS camera. The building of the masterflats are done as follows:

- For each individual 120s science image, we create an object mask using SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). The object masks are expanded to assure that the outer light of the objects is also masked. Only those pixels outside the masks are used to create the final masterflat.
- Every individual science image of a given night is normalized to one. The determination of the number counts to normalize each image is done in the same CCD position (close to the optical axis of the camera). This counting is done within a box of 50×50 arcsec².
- The normalized and masked individual science images are combined in a single masterflat using the median.

The masterflats have a typical rms of 0.055%. Finally, the individual sciences images of each night are divided by their corresponding masterflats.

2.4. Bad pixels removal

Before the combination of the full dataset of science images, it is necessary to identify those regions of each OSIRIS CCDs where the quality of the image is degraded. To do that we have created a mask image (based on the normalized masterflat) identifying: a) bad columns, b) hot pixels and c) vignetted regions of the camera (normally areas where the count rate is less than 65% of the peak). We have expanded slightly our masked region (to be conservative) to include also the nearest pixels of those identified as bad ones. While doing the following reduction steps, our individual science frames are masked with these masks.

2.5. Astrometric calibration

To avoid misalignments during the combination of our individual science images into a final mosaic, we need to assure that the astrometry of all the individual images is the same. To conduct that task we use SCAMP (Bertin 2006). SCAMP is used to put all our science images into a common astrometric solution. SCAMP reads SExtractor catalogs and computes astrometric and photometric solutions for any arbitrary sequence of FITS images in a completely automatic way. Our astrometric solution takes as a reference the astrometry of the stars of the SDSS DR7 catalogue (Abazajian et al. 2009) in our field of view. The number of stars used in each science image for our astrometric solution is typically around a couple $\frac{1}{2}$ dozens.

¹ It is worth noting that the optical sky brightness at the Roque de los Muchachos observatory is extremely stable during the night. Measured variations are consistent with zero, $0.03\pm0.07 \text{ mag/arcsec}^2$, within the precision error (see a report at http://www.ing.iac.es/astronomy/observing/conditions/skybr/skybr.htm) dozens.



Figure 1. Dithering and rotation sequence followed to get the final image. The background image is a color composite of the UGC00180 region obtained from SDSS. The total field of view of the SDSS image corresponds to $13.5' \times 13.5'$. The field of view of each pointing by the OSIRIS camera $(7.8' \times 7.8')$ is overplotted with a violet contour. The position of the orange crosses indicate the dithering pattern followed in each block of observations, whereas the green arrow indicates the position angle of the camera in each set of observations. In total, the final image is composed by $3 \times 9 \times 9$ pointings. A full description of the procedure is done in the text.

2.6. Photometric calibration

The photometric calibration of our science images is based on the photometry of SDSS DR7. We use the (nonsaturated) stars in the SDSS DR7 catalogue (Abazajian et al. 2009) within our field of view. The magnitudes of the stars in the SDSS DR7 catalogue that we have used are those from the PSF photometry. We matched the SDSS DR7 photometric catalogue to ours, after which we multiplied our images making the photometry in both catalogues equal. The multiplicative factor is chosen such that our images are calibrated to a common zeropoint of 32 mag. The typical number of stars that are within each our individual science images to conduct this photometric calibration task is ~ 30 .

2.7. Sky determination

The sky determination and subtraction is done for each of our science image individually before the final coaddition. The determination of the sky is done using only those pixels of the images that are not identified as ob-



Figure 2. Weight map after the stacking process. Darker areas correspond to a larger number of repetitions and, consequently, deeper imaging. Note the dithering and rotation scheme followed in this observation.

jects by SExtractor. We place 10^5 apertures randomly located through the images and we determine the resistant mean value of the counts in these apertures. We subtract that value to the calibrated images.

2.8. Image coaddition

Once the astrometry of every individual science image is recalculated to a common astrometric solution and the images are calibrated as well as sky subtracted, we use SWarp (Bertin et al. 2002) to put all our data into a common grid. SWarp is a program that resamples and co-adds together FITS images using any arbitrary astrometric projection defined in the WCS standard. The combination uses the median of those images. The common field of view is illustrated in Fig. 2. The image resampling method that was used is LANCZOS3.

The final coadded image (see Fig. 3) is significantly deeper than the individual exposures and low surface brightness features, hidden in the individual exposures, emerge in the final stacking. These low surface brightness features (extended dust emission, halos of bright stars, etc) affect the sky determination of our individual science images. For this reason, it is necessary to mask these regions and repeat the process of the sky determination in the individual exposures. The result of this repetition is our final image which we explore in detail in the next sections.

3. RESULTS

Our final image is shown in Fig. 3. Before discussing in detail the extended emission around our main target, the



Figure 3. Field of view of $12.7' \times 12.7'$ around UGC00180. In addition to our main target, there are a number of interesting astronomical objects that are highlighted. A zoom-in to objects tagged as a), b) and c) is shown in Fig. 5. The presence of an extended and filamentary emission in the bottom part of the image is also tentatively identified as a Galactic Cirrus of our own Galaxy.

nearby galaxy UGC00180, we shortly discuss here other visible structures in the field of view around this object. The most conspicuous feature is the extended and filamentary emission observed in the bottom part of the image that we have tentatively tagged as Galactic Cirrus. Without having color information, it is complicated to identify the origin of this extended emission. We have used the Planck satellite to see whether it is possible to see this feature at the 857 GHz (350 μ m) channel (Planck Collaboration et al. 2014). At this wavelength, the dust of our own Galaxy is particularly visible. The spatial resolution in the far infrared image (1.7 arcmin/pixel) is so poor compared to the size of our image that we can not make any clear statement on this. However, the position of the maximum emission of the dust at the 857 GHz

channel seems to coincide with the spatial location of the extended emission in the optical (see Fig. 4), suggesting a dust origin for this feature.

We have also tagged three other regions in the image to illustrate the level of detail that can be explored in our data. These regions are called A, B and C and have been shown in detail in Fig. 5. Panel A shows a galaxy cluster located at z=0.389. The region shown corresponds to 200×200 kpc at the cluster redshift. The presence of intracluster light (observed in the g'-band restframe) at distances as far as 150 kpc is very remarkable (at this redshift the cosmological dimming is 1.43 mag) and illustrates the depth of this image. We will quantify this depth in the following subsection. Panels B and C show galaxies undergoing mergers at different redshifts. In the



Figure 4. The presence of Galactic Cirrus in our image. The figure compares the optical emission obtained with GTC with the 350 μ m map produced by the Planck satellite. The location of the maximum emission in the Planck channel is similar to the position of the extended feature in the optical map. This suggests that the origin of that extended emission could be produced by dust cirrus of our own Galaxy.

particular case of panel C, two galaxies of similar brightness at z=0.287 seem to have extended stellar halos that are connected by a bridge of stars. The distance between these two galaxies is larger than 100 kpc.

3.1. Surface brightness limit and comparison with other surveys

To provide the limiting surface brightness of a given image, it is first necessary to define an area where a given fluctuation is considered a detection or not. For instance, for the SDSS survey, Kniazev et al. (2004), using circular apertures of R=12", found that a 3σ fluctuation in the surface brightness distribution of the image corresponds to an object with $\mu_{lim}=26.4 \text{ mag/arcsec}^2$ (g'-band). Alternatively, another way to explore the limiting surface brightness of an image is to determine the limiting surface brightness down to which a galaxy profile can be confidently explored. Pohlen & Trujillo (2006), using also SDSS, were able to extract reliable (3σ) surface brightness profiles down to $\sim 27 \text{ mag/arcsec}^2$ at R=150"(g', r' band). For the SDSS images, the number of pixels explored along a circular longitude of that radius is equivalent to the number of pixels inside a circular aperture of $R \sim 11''$. To put these numbers into context, it is worth noting that this depth was obtained with a 2.5m telescope using ~ 1 min exposure time.

There have been increasing efforts in recent years to obtain very deep imaging of nearby galaxies. Duc et al. (2015) have summarized the depth of different projects and we refer to the reader to that reference for an exhaustive summary of the current status in the literature. A large number of previous works, including Duc et al. (2015), have been conducted using the Canada France Hawaii Telescope (CFHT). Those works (e.g. Ferrarese et al. 2012; Duc et al. 2015) typically reach a depth of 28.529 mag/arcsec² (g' band) using 40-60 min in a 3.6m telescope. Bridge, Carlberg, & Sullivan (2010) using also the same telescope but with integration of 5-10h claim detections of surface brightness features of ~30 mag/arcsec² (g' band). Using the SDSS Stripe82 data obtained by the SDSS 2.5m telescope during ~1h, Fliri & Trujillo (2016) estimate a 3σ detection (r' band) at 28.5 mag/arcsec². Watkins et al. (2014) claim a surface brightness limit of 29.5 mag/arcsec² (V band) with 10.25 hours on source using the 0.6/0.9 CWRU Burrell Schmidt telescope. Finally, Jablonka et al. (2010) using the VLT telescope during 6h exposure, obtained surface brightness profiles down to a limit of $\mu_R \sim 30.6$ mag/arcsec² for the nearby galaxy NGC3957.

Other works (i.e. Martínez-Delgado et al. 2010), using more modest apertures (D<0.5m) have reached 28-29 mag/arcsec² (V band) using 10-15h on source. Finally, using an array of lenses equivalent to a 0.4m diameter telescope (the Dragonfly telescope; Abraham & van Dokkum 2014), Merritt et al. (2014) claim the detection of features with $\mu_g \sim 29.5$ mag/arcsec² and $\mu_r \sim 29.8$ mag/arcsec² on scales of ~10 arcseconds for a total of 35 hours.

In this work, we have decided to obtain the limiting surface brightness of the image as the equivalent to a 3σ fluctuation (compared to the sky noise) in square boxes of $10'' \times 10''$. The reason behind using boxes of this size is given by the typical size of the components we are interested to explore in the stellar halo of UGC00180 (see Fig. 6). At the redshift of UGC00180, this aperture is appropriate to probe features of 7.3×7.3 kpc. Values like that are typical of the FWHM of streams of nearby galaxies (e.g. Martínez-Delgado et al. 2008). Using square boxes of $10'' \times 10''$ we obtain a surface brightness limit of 31.5



Figure 5. Zoom-in to some of the interesting astronomical objects located nearby UGC00180. Panel A shows a cluster of galaxy at z=0.389. The image is deep to enough to show the extended intracluster light of this object up to distances of 150 kpc. Panel B and C illustrate the merging activity in a galaxy located at z=0.175 and a galaxy pair at z=0.287. The redshift of the examples shown in Panel A and B are spectroscopic. The redshifts used in Panel C are photometric and obtained from the SDSS catalogue Photoz. More information can be found here https://www.sdss3.org/dr10/algorithms/photo-z.php

mag/arcsec² (3σ ; r-band). This surface brightness limit is referred only to the innermost 4×4 arcmin of the image, where the effective amount of time on-source is 8.1h

In order to put our observations in comparison with other deep surveys, in Fig. 6 we show how our galaxy would be seen at the depth of SDSS, Stripe82 and deep CFHT surveys. To mimic the depth of the different surveys, we have used our original GTC data and we have added noise to the image until we get a limiting surface brightness depth as the one reported in the literature for the different surveys. The limiting surface brightness (in the r-band) is estimated as a fluctuation of 3σ using $10'' \times 10''$ boxes. We use the following lim-iting values: 26.5 mag/arcsec²(SDSS), 28.5 mag/arcsec² (Stripe82) and 29 mag/arcsec² (Deep CFHT). We have checked that our noise simulations were conducted properly comparing our simulation of the SDSS depth with data of the same galaxy directly obtained by the SDSS. Based on these noise tests, it is worth noting how, for UGC00180, the stellar halo is only visible when the GTC depth is reached.

To further test the depth of our dataset, we explore the repetability of the faintest features we can distinguish in our final image. The images of our galaxy were collected in two different observing sets with different sky and seeing conditions. Consequently, those features that are visible in both blocks of observations determine which is the actual surface brightness limit of our data in our shortest time exposure set. The first set of data was taken during the 1st, 4th, 7th, 8th, 10th and 11th of November 2013 and it has a total of 6 hours on source. The second set of data was collected during the 25th and 26th of November 2013 for a total of 2.1 hours on source. A zoom-in around UGC00180 for the two sets of data is shown in Fig. 7. Overplotted in this figure are the surface brightness contours corresponding to 25, 28 and 30 $mag/arcsec^2$. The vast majority of the faint features are identical in both independent datasets. There is a small difference in the bottom right part of both images where

the 30 mag/arcsec² extends a little bit farther away in the shallow (2.1 hours) image with respect to the deeper block. This bottom part of the image was slightly overexposed (in the shallow block) with respect to the rest of the image of the galaxy due to our observational strategy. This produces a slightly higher S/N in this part of the image producing the observed differences.

4. SCATTERED LIGHT AROUND UGC00180

As explained in Section 2, the reduction of the image has assumed a constant value for the sky. This value has been determined after carefully masking all the individually detected objects and the low surface brightness extended features as dust emission, halos of bright stars, etc. However, the scattered light produced by the convolution of the sources in the field with the PSF constitute a complex background that needs to be explored to test whether the low surface brightness features around UGC00180 have an origin external to the source. Slater et al. (2009) have conducted a detailed analysis of the scattered light produced by the bright stars in a given field. They show that in fields like the center of the Virgo Cluster (which contains three very bright stars of eighth magnitude to the west of M87) the convolution of the PSF with the bright stars of the images implies that every single pixel of the image beyond 29 mag/arcsec^2 (Vband) is dominated by the scattered light of one or more stars. If the contribution of scattered light is homogeneous over spatial scales similar to the size of the object of interest, in our case UGC00180, then this scattered light is equivalent to have a second sky level (the first one produced by the atmosphere at $\sim 22 \text{ mag/arcsec}^2$) overimposed over the galaxy.

To construct the field of scattered light around UGC00180 produced by the bright stars we need to accurately characterize the PSF of the image over an extension as large as possible. In practice, this means to characterize the PSF in this image at least down to 5 arcmin which is the average separation of the brightest sources in our field. Ideally, one would like to create



Figure 6. UGC00180 as it would be observed by different surveys: SDSS, SDSS Stripe82, Deep data with CFHT (i.e. Ferrarese et al. 2012; Duc et al. 2015) and the present work. Each surface brightness limiting magnitude has been estimated as a 3σ surface brightness fluctuation in boxes of $10'' \times 10''$. Note how, for this galaxy, the emergence of a stellar halo requires reaching limiting surface brightness fainter than 30 mag/arcsec² in the r-band.

such PSF using stars directly taken from the image and processed similarly. In practice, this is extremely complicated as the presence of bright stars in the field is avoided to keep simple the analysis of the object under study. For this reason, we conducted a campaign for observing with GTC (using the same rotation and dithering pattern than for the main object), the star γ Dra (V=2.36 mag). The total amount of time on source was 13.5 seconds (27 pointings of 0.5 seconds each using a dithering pattern of 9 pointings and three position angles: 0, 120 and 240 degrees). Such a bright star allow

us to explore the PSF² of the GTC telescope down to a radial distance of ~5 arcmin. However, despite the very short integration times we are using, the GTC PSF appears saturated in its innermost region (<10 arcsec). For this reason, we combine that PSF with a PSF built using the non-saturated PSFs of the UGC00180 field. Both PSFs are matched to cover the entire brightness and radial range. The Fig. 8 shows γ Dra as seen by the GTC

² This GTC PSF is available to the astronomical community at the following webpage: http: //www.gtc.iac.es/instruments/osiris/osiris.php#BroadBand_Imaging. The data was collected on the 23th of December 2014.



Figure 7. This figure displays two independent observing datasets of the galaxy UGC00180 taken with GTC in different dates. The figure shows the repetability of the faintest features of the stellar halo of this galaxy. Overplotted in this figure are the surface brightness contours corresponding to 25, 28 and 30 mag/arcsec².

telescope.

With the PSF extremely well characterized down to large radial distances (error less than 0.07%, 0.11% and 0.28% at 1 arcmin, 3 arcmin and 5 arcmin respectively) we construct the field of scattered light produced by the brightest (R < 17 mag) stars of the image. It is worth noting that (except for UGC00180), all the sources of our image brighter than R=17 mag are point-like sources. The selection of the bright stars in our field of view is done using the USNO catalogue³. In particular, we have used the information provided by the UCAC 3 catalogue (Zacharias et al. 2009) which contains the magnitude of the stars in R. We have used all the stars brighter than the above magnitude within a radial distance of 7 arcmin to UGC00180. Once the catalogue of bright stars is constructed, we build the scattered light field locating the GTC PSF (normalized to the flux provided by the USNO catalogue) in each position where the bright stars are. The results of doing this is illustrated in Fig. 9. UGC00180 is placed in a region of the image where the contribution of the scattered light of the nearby brightest sources is rather homogeneous and around $29.2 \text{ mag/arcsec}^2$. In fact, after subtracting the scattered light distribution (and adding back a constant value to the sky to recover the zero value from the sky) there is not any effect on the structure of the stellar halo around UGC00180.

The field of scattered light created above is based under the assumption that the PSF shape (particularly its wings) does not vary over the entire field. However, it is worth noting that the GTC PSF was created to represent the effect of the PSF on the center of the image. A future study of the stability of the GTC PSF mimicking the position of stars in different position of the final image would be desirable to explore whether the above assumption is correct or not.

5. THE STELLAR HALO OF UGC00180

The surface brightness profile of UGC00180 has been obtained through elliptical apertures with ellipticity changing with radial distance to reflect better the disk and the outer (more roundish) component of the galaxy. Nearby contaminant sources like foreground stars and background galaxies were identified with SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) and masked. In those cases where SExtractor was unable to identify a source, we masked the object manually. In addition, the Galactic Cirrus feature found in the south-east part of the image was also masked to avoid its influence in the outer region of UGC00180. The resulting surface brightness profile of UGC00180 is shown in Fig. 10.

The depth of the GTC observations allow us to explore a range of ~14 magnitudes in the galaxy profile, from around 19 mag/arcsec² in the center down to ~33 mag/arcsec² in the outskirts. We have also overplotted the profile of the galaxy obtained using the SDSS image with the same elliptical apertures. The GTC surface brightness profiles is 5 magnitudes deeper than the SDSS one. This is in excellent agreement with the theoretical expectation taking into account the different in size of the telescopes (10.4m GTC vs 2.5m SDSS) and the amount of time on source on the object (8.1h GTC vs 1 min SDSS). Note that the seeing in both datasets are comparable (~ 1").

We have divided our profile in 5 different spatial regions to illustrate the different structures of the galaxy. The innermost region corresponds to the bulge of UGC00180. From 4 to 18 kpc we see a gentle expo-

³ http://www.nofs.navy.mil/data/FchPix/



Figure 8. The star γ Dra (V=2.36 mag) as seen by the GTC telescope. This star has been used to model the PSF of the GTC telescope in the r-band.

nential decline that we identify with the inner disk of the object. After a break, the surface brightness profile continues declining exponentially down to 37 kpc (the outer disk). This kind of behavior (broken exponential) has been identified many times in the literature using SDSS data (see e.g. Pohlen & Trujillo 2006). In fact, the SDSS data of this galaxy also shows the break feature. Close to the end of the outer disk we have a hint for a new down-bending "break" feature. We tentatively identify this as the truncation of the disk. The faint surface brightness of the truncation $(>26 \text{ mag/arcsec}^2)$ makes it quite complicated to identify in galaxies which are not completely edge-on using surveys like SDSS (see a discussion about this in Martín-Navarro et al. 2014). The region beyond 37 kpc is dominated by a roundish component around the galaxy which is declining exponentially.

We call this region the stellar halo of the galaxy. There is a soft bump around 60 kpc and 30 mag/arcsec² which corresponds to the regions where the light distribution around the galaxy is more filamentary. In fact, this feature can be identified with the tails of light with see in the north-east and south-west of the galaxy. The excess of light in the south-east region is probably Galactic dust and, consequently, it has been masked during the analysis. We use this radial position to identify two regions of the stellar halo: the inner and the outer part.

The stellar halo of UGC00180 is full of small clumps. These clumps could have potential different origins: background galaxies, foreground faint stars, satellites of UGC00180 or even regions of star formations. Not having color information at these extremely low surface brightness level we can not add much to distinguish



Figure 9. The field of scattered light around the target galaxy UGC00180 produced by all the stars brighter than R=17 mag. The original field and a zoom in to the galaxy is illustrated in the first row. The second row shows the field of scattered light. The position of the galaxy is illustrated with a green cross. The contours of equal surface brightness 28.5, 29 and 29.5 mag/arcsec² (left column) and 28.8, 29 and 29.2 mag/arcsec² (right column) are shown. Finally, the third row is the original field after the subtraction of the scattered light produced by the brightest sources. The shape of the stellar halo of UGC00180 is unaffected by the scattered light around.



Figure 10. The surface brightness profile of UGC00180 in r-band. The surface brightness profile of the galaxy as seen by SDSS is overplotted (dark pink points). The depth of the GTC data allows to explore the surface brightness profile of the galaxy 5 magnitudes deeper than with SDSS. The positions of the break of the inner disk as well as some hint of an outer disk truncation is indicated with arrows.

among the different scenarios. Future works, including more bands, should be able to explore this issue and also the conjecture raised in the Appendix by Bland-Hawthorn et al. (2005) where they show that even at low metallicities (Fe/H=-1), there may be "gegenschein" from dust scatter.

5.1. The effect of the PSF on the surface brightness profile

There is growing evidence in the literature showing that the effect of the PSF can alter significantly the amount of stellar light located in the periphery of the galaxies (see e.g. de Jong 2008; Trujillo & Bakos 2013). This effect has now been studied in detail by Sandin (2014, 2015). The results of these works indicate that the effect of the PSF can mimic artificial stellar halos around the galaxies. Consequently, it is necessary to carefully account for the PSF effects (Capaccioli & de Vaucouleurs 1983) before any conclusion about the surface brightness distribution of our galaxy UGC00180 is made.

To correct by the effect of the PSF, it is required to have a detailed description of the PSF of the image. In particular, to be able to explore the effect of the PSF, it is mandatory to have an accurate characterization of the PSF to radial distances, at least, as far as 1.5 times the radius of the galaxy (Sandin 2014). In our case, this means having a PSF well described up to a radial distance of ~3 arcmin. As we have explained before, we have the PSF of the GTC telescope accurately characterized down to a radial distance of 5 arcmin. This is ~10 times larger than the optical size (R₂₅) of the galaxy.

We simulate the effect of the PSF on the surface brightness distribution of our galaxy using the IMFIT code (Erwin 2015). IMFIT is an image-fitting program specially designed for describing the surface brightness distribution of the galaxies. We select the following functions to fit the light distribution of our galaxy: a Sersic (1968) bulge, a broken disk exponential (Erwin et al. 2008) and an exponential stellar halo. We convolve these functions with the PSF of the image and fit the galaxy light distribution. The convolved model is later on subtracted from the image to get the residuals of the fit. These residuals include the spiral arm structure and other nonsymmetric features which the model can not fit. After that, we sum the residuals to the deconvolved IMFIT model to create an image of the galaxy with the effect of the PSF removed. We show the difference between the original image and the deconvolved one in Fig. 11.

The effect of the PSF on the galaxy light distribution is particularly relevant beyond 25 mag/arcsec² (r-band). In fact, Fig. 11 shows that after correcting by the effect of the PSF, the 28 mag/arcsec² isophotal contour has a disk-like shape. This is strikingly different from the roundish shape this contour has when exploring the original (i.e. PSF affected) image. The filamentary structure of the extra light surrounding UGC00180 is also more evident once its light distribution is corrected by the effect of the PSF. The effect of the PSF on the surface brightness profile of the galaxy is illustrated on Fig. 12. The PSF affects both the central part, decreasing the central surface brightness of the bulge by $\sim 1 \text{ mag/arcsec}^2$ as well as the very outer region of the galaxy where the effect is the opposite. Beyond 25 mag/arcsec² the deconvolved profile starts to deviate from the original profile. At radial distances further than 50 arcsec, the difference between the original and the PSF corrected profile is around 1 mag/arcsec². This has important consequences on the analysis of the galaxy: the stellar halo region is much fainter (a factor of ~2.5) than what it could be initially guessed using the original image of the galaxy. We quantify this more precisely in the next section.

5.2. The amount of stellar mass in the stellar halo

Motivated by the shape of the light distribution in Fig. 12, we model the stellar halo light distribution assuming an exponential profile. The use of an exponential law for describing the stellar halos has been also followed in the past (e.g. Irwin et al. 2005; Ibata et al. 2007). The use of an exponential model assumes that the amount of light in the stellar halo continues to rise towards the center of the galaxy. In the central part of the galaxy, the light is dominated by the bulge and the disk, outshining the contribution of the stellar halo. In this sense, the exponential behavior of the light distribution of the stellar halo in the inner region is a hypothesis we have to assume here. However, this growing contribution of the stellar halo towards the innermost region is motivated by numerical works exploring the distribution of the accreted material in the galaxies (see e.g. Cooper et al. 2010; Font et al. 2011).

We have measured the difference in the amount of light in the stellar halo one would have estimated using the observed profile (i.e. the one affected by the PSF) and the amount of light in the deconvolved model. The results of an exponential profile fitting to the halo light distribution are as follows. For the observed surface brightness profile, affected by the PSF: central surface brightness $\mu_r(0)=24.7\pm0.2$ mag/arcsec² and scale-length $h=18.6\pm0.2$ arcsec (13.6 ±0.1 kpc). For the stellar halo corrected by the PSF: $\mu_r(0)=26.6\pm0.3$ mag/arcsec² and $h=23.5\pm0.2$ arcsec (17.2 ±0.2 kpc). The stellar haloes estimated this way correspond to the following fraction of light (r-band) compared to the total galaxy light: 0.11 (original profile) and 0.03 (stellar halo corrected by the effect of the PSF). To allow a comparison of the stellar halo of UGC00180 with other stellar halos reported in the literature, we assume that the ratio of the light contained in the stellar halo in the r-band is similar to the ratio of stellar mass. This assumption is reasonable taking into account that the global color of the galaxy $(g-r) \sim 0.8$ is rather red (see Section 2), suggesting a low star formation activity, similar to what one would expect in the stellar halo region.

Figure 13 shows the stellar halo of UGC00180 in comparison with other stellar halos measured in the literature: MW (Carollo et al. 2010), M31 (Courteau et al. 2011), M33 (McConnachie et al. 2010), NGC2403 (Barker et al. 2012) and M101 (van Dokkum et al. 2014). The stellar halo mass fraction of UGC00180 is quite comparable to the one measured in M31 (a galaxy with similar stellar mass). It is worth noting that this result is only achievable when the effect of the PSF is taking into account. The amount of stellar mass contained in the stellar halo of UGC00180 is around 4×10^9 M_{\odot}. We will discuss this in the next section.

6. DISCUSSION



Figure 11. The effect of the PSF on the surface brightness distribution of UGC00180. The figure shows the dramatic effect of the PSF on the surface brightness distribution on the isophotal contours of the galaxy. We indicate the position of the 25, 28 and 30 mag/arcsec² isophotes. The effect of the PSF is particularly relevant when reaching surface brightness fainter than 25 mag/arcsec² (r-band).

Under the assumption of a Kroupa IMF and solar metallicity, the global color (g-r=0.78) of UGC00180 suggests an average age for the stellar population of the galaxy of ~8 Gyr (Vazdekis et al. 2010). This implies a relatively quiet life for this galaxy and an assembly epoch at $z\gtrsim1$. It is theoretically expected that the formation of stellar halos take place mostly at z>1 (e.g. Cooper et al. 2010; Font et al. 2011; Tissera et al. 2012, 2014). Observational evidence for this has been reported by Trujillo & Bakos (2013). Assuming that the assembly history of UGC00180 is typical for disk galaxies of its mass, it is worth comparing the structure of its stellar halo (size, shape, and amount of stellar mass) with the most recent cosmological simulations exploring this external component.

The comparison with the simulations is not straightforward as there is not a unique form of characterizing which stars corresponds to the stellar halo and which ones to other components of the galaxy (see e.g. Pillepich et al. 2014). In this paper we have used an exponential law (Patterson 1940) motivated by the shape of the profile of the galaxy in its outer region. In addition to the exponential shape, other model profiles in the literature have been used to fit the observed stellar halo distribution, such as a Sérsic law, a Hernquist model or a power-law (see e.g. Gilbert et al. 2012). In Fig. 14 we show our observed (circularized) profile versus the results from the simulations by Cooper et al. (2013) for galaxies with dark halo virial masses within the range $12 < \log_{10} M_{200} / M_{\odot} < 12.5$. We have chosen this dark matter halo mass as this is the one expected for galaxies like the MW and M31 (e.g. Watkins et al. 2010). Taking into account the stellar mass and morphological type of UGC00180, it is expected that this object also inhabit a dark matter halo with similar properties.

Fig. 14 shows two different renditions of Cooper et al. (2013) galaxy light distributions depending on the "most-bound fraction" f_{mb} used to model the location of stars within the dark matter haloes. For instance, quoting Cooper et al. (2013), $f_{mb}=0.01$ means that only the 1 per cent most-bound particles of the simulations are used to describe the stellar distribution. Comparing the models with the light distribution of UGC00180, we can infer that the profile of the real galaxy fits rather well with the expectation of the model with $f_{mb}=0.05$. The agreement is remarkably good (i.e. the observed profile is within the scatter of the model prediction) down to $\log \rho_{\star}(M_{\odot}/kpc^2) \sim 3$ or, equivalently, R~80 kpc. Moreover, the models correctly predict an increasing relevance of the accreted stellar component at radial distances beyond 25 kpc and $\log \rho_{\star}(M_{\odot}/kpc^2) < 5$. This transition region corresponds to an equivalent surface brightness of $\mu_r \sim 29$ mag/arcsec². Beyond a radial distance of 80 kpc $(\mu_r \gtrsim 32 \text{ mag/arcsec}^2)$ the observed profile is quite uncertain, preventing us to conclude whether the stellar halo of UGC00180 is "truncated" or whether the sudden drop of the stellar profile at those distances is the result of an oversubtraction of the sky at such faint levels.

Independently of the shape of the profiles, it is worth comparing the model predictions about the amount of stellar mass contained in the stellar halo of the galaxies. We have overplotted in Fig. 13 the predictions from Purcell et al. (2007) (red area) and Cooper et al. (2013) (blue and orange) for galaxies inhabiting dark matter halos with $12 < \log_{10} M_{200}/M_{\odot} < 12.5$. The position of the models from Cooper et al. (2013) with $f_{mb}=0.01$ and $f_{mb}=0.05$ are indicated respectively with blue and orange colors. Both the MW and M31 are in perfect agreement with all model predictions. However, M33



Figure 12. The effect of the PSF on the surface brightness profile of UGC00180. The original profile is shown using blue points whereas the profile obtained after accounting by the effect of the PSF is plotted using dark points. The green dashed line shows the surface brightness profile of the GTC PSF.

and NGC2403 are significantly outside the theoretical regions. The reason why these galaxies are not described by the models is easy to understand. We have only shown the model predictions for dark matter halos with mass $12 < \log_{10} M_{200} / M_{\odot} < 12.5$. However, M33 and NGC2403 inhabit dark matter halos a factor of 10 less massive (see e.g. Seigar 2011). The location of M101 is not well described by Cooper et al. (2013) models, although it is statically compatible with Purcell et al. (2007) predictions. In any case, this galaxy seems to have a very small stellar halo for its total stellar mass. Could M101 be incorrectly described by the models due to its dark matter halo mass is not within $12 < \log_{10} M_{200}/M_{\odot} < 12.5$? According to Hyperleda, the maximum rotation velocity corrected for inclination of M101 is $V_{rot}=274\pm10$ km/s. This is suggestive of a dark matter halo mass similar to M31. However, taking into account that M101 seems to be clearly in interaction, associating a large dark matter halo mass to its rotational velocity is, maybe, premature. Finally, UGC00180 is slightly offset (although compatible within the error bars) from the predictions of the theoretical models. The large stellar mass and rotational velocity of UGC00180 could reflect that this galaxy inhabits a dark matter halo mass with $\log_{10}M_{200}/M_{\odot}>12.5$. If this were the case, the agreement with Purcell et al. (2007) and Cooper et al. (2013) models could be better.

According to Fig. 13, MW, M31 and UGC00180 have stellar halos with mass ranging between 10^9 to 4×10^9 M_{\odot} . Both Purcell et al. (2007) and Cooper et al. (2013) models predict that the progenitors of the stellar halos of these galaxies will be satellites with $M_{\star} \sim 3 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$. Consequently, on average, we expect a number of merging events with these types of satellites ranging from ~ 3 (for galaxies like MW) to ~ 12 (for M31 and UGC00180). Nowadays, the number of satellite galaxies that both the MW and M31 have with $M_{\star} \sim 3 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ and within R < 300 kpc is ~ 1 (McConnachie 2012). If this number is representative of the population of satellites of these galaxies in the past, we can infer that the average merging timescale for these satellites is $\sim 1-3$ Gyr (assuming



Figure 13. Stellar halo mass fraction versus total galaxy stellar mass. The figure shows the location of the stellar halos of galaxies compiled from the literature (green points) as well as the stellar halo of UGC00180 (black solid point). In addition, we have overplotted the model predictions from Purcell et al. (2007) (red area) and Cooper et al. (2013) (blue and orange) for galaxies inhabiting dark matter halos with $12 < \log_{10} M_{200}/M_{\odot} < 12.5$. The grey dashed lines correspond to the positions on this plane of stellar halos with fixed stellar mass (10^7 , 10^8 , 10^9 , 10^{10} and 10^{11} M_{\odot}).

MW and M31 are basically formed since $z\sim 2$).

Finally, we can focus our attention on the general prediction by galaxy formation models stating that all present-day galaxies will show several streams and a prominent stellar halo if they are observed down to $\mu_V>31$ mag/arcsec² (e.g. Cooper et al. 2010). In MW, M31 and UGC00180, where the observations have gone deep enough to explore this prediction, this is indeed the case. This question remains open for M101, a galaxy with similar stellar mass to the ones above, whereas the deepest current observation (e.g. Mihos et al. 2013; van Dokkum et al. 2014) are at the limit (~30 mag/arcsec²; $3\sigma \ 10\times10$ arcsec boxes) to explore this issue.

7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have addressed the following question: what is the surface brightness limit that current largest optical telescopes (~ 10 m) can achieve within a reasonable amount on time (i.e. <10 hour on source)? Using the 10.4m GTC telescope, during a total amount of time of 8.1 hours on source, we have found that is feasible to reach 31.5 mag/arcsec² (3σ ; 10×10 arcsec boxes in the r-band). This is a surface brightness limit around 1.5-2 mag deeper than most current surveys dedicated to explore the faintest astronomical extended structures using integrated photometry.

Using this ultra-deep observation, we have explored the stellar halo of UGC00180, a galaxy with similar mass and morphology to M31. After addressing the effect of the PSF on the surface brightness distribution of this galaxy, we have been able to probe the surface brightness profile of this object down to $\mu_r \sim 33 \text{ mag/arcsec}^2$. This is equivalent to the depth reached when using star counting technique to measure the light profiles of galaxies, but this time for a galaxy located at 150 Mpc, where this technique is unfeasible. The fraction of light contained in the stellar halo of UGC00180 is $3\pm1\%$, in agreement with state-of-the-art galaxy formation models. Our pilot project shows that current technology will allow us to study the stellar halos of many hundreds of galaxies.



Figure 14. Median profiles of circularly averaged stellar mass surface density, ρ_* , for accreted stars (dashed lines) and in situ stars (dotted lines) taken from Cooper et al. (2013) model with $f_{mb}=1\%$ (left panel) and $f_{mb}=5\%$ (right panel). A solid line shows the median profile combining accreted and in situ components. The light blue and orange regions indicate the 10-90 percent scatter of the median profiles. The black points correspond to the circularized (PSF deconvolved) stellar mass surface density profile of UGC00180 assuming a constant $M_{\star}/L_r=2.51$ (see text for details).

This opens the possibility to explore the expected large variety of shapes and morphologies for this faint component around galaxies. Reproducing, quantitatively, the characteristics of the stellar halos in a large number of objects will be one of the most demanding test for the Λ CDM galaxy formation scenario in the future.

We thank the referee for his/her constructive comments. Based on observations made with the Gran Telescopio Canarias (GTC), installed at the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofsica de Canarias, in the island of La Palma. This work has been supported by the "Programa Nacional de Astronomía y Astrofísica" of the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation under grant AYA2013-48226-C3-1-P. We are grateful to Ricardo Genova for helping us with the Planck data and Lee Kelvin for interesting input on the analysis of the images. Massimo Capaccioli, Christer Sandin and Jovan Veljanoski did several stimulating comments on different aspects of this work. We thank also Andrew Cooper by providing his data from his simulations to allow a direct comparison with the observed profile. We are indebted with the support astronomers of the GTC telescope, in particular with Antonio Cabrera Lavers for his wonderful job on getting this dataset.

REFERENCES

- Abadi, M. G., Navarro, J. F., & Steinmetz, M. 2006, MNRAS, 365, 747
- Abazajian K. N., et al., 2009, ApJS, 182, 543
- Abraham R. G., van Dokkum P. G., 2014, PASP, 126, 55
- Barker, M. K., Ferguson, A. M. N., Irwin, M. J., Arimoto, N., & Jablonka, P. 2012, MNRAS, 419, 1489
- Beckwith, S. V. W., Stiavelli, M., Koekemoer, A. M., et al. 2006, AJ, 132, 1729
- Bell, E. F., McIntosh, D. H., Katz, N., & Weinberg, M. D. 2003, ApJS, 149, 289
- Bertin E., Arnouts S., 1996, A&AS, 117, 393
- Bertin E., Mellier Y., Radovich M., Missonnier G., Didelon P., Morin B., 2002, ASPC, 281, 228
- Bertin E., 2006, ASPC, 351, 112

- Bland-Hawthorn, J., Vlajić, M., Freeman, K. C., & Draine, B. T. 2005, ApJ, 629, 239
- Bridge C. R., Carlberg R. G., Sullivan M., 2010, ApJ, 709, 1067
- Bullock, J. S., & Johnston, K. V. 2005, ApJ, 635, 931
- Capaccioli, M., & de Vaucouleurs, G. 1983, ApJS, 52, 465
- Capaccioli, M., Spavone, M., Grado, A., et al. 2015, A&A, 581, A10
- Carollo, D., Beers, T. C., Chiba, M., et al. 2010, ApJ, 712, 692
- Cooper, A. P., Cole, S., Frenk, C. S., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 406, 744
- Cooper, A. P., D'Souza, R., Kauffmann, G., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 434, 3348
- Courteau, S., Widrow, L. M., McDonald, M., et al. 2011, ApJ, 739, 20 $\,$
- de Jong, R. S. 2008, MNRAS, 388, 1521
- Duc, P.-A., Cuillandre, J.-C., Karabal, E., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 446, 120
- Erwin, P., Pohlen, M., & Beckman, J. E. 2008, AJ, 135, 20
- Erwin, P. 2015, ApJ, 799, 226
- Ferrarese L., et al., 2012, ApJS, 200, 4
- Fliri, J., & Trujillo, I., 2016, MNRAS, in press
- Font, A. S., McCarthy, I. G., Crain, R. A., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 416, 2802
- Gilbert, K. M., Guhathakurta, P., Beaton, R. L., et al. 2012, ApJ, 760, 76
- Goranova, Y., Hudelot, P., Magnard, F., et al., 2009, The CFHTLS T0006 Release,
- http://terapix.iap.fr/cplt/T0006-doc.pdf
- Ibata, R., Martin, N. F., Irwin, M., et al. 2007, ApJ, 671, 1591
- Ibata, R., Mouhcine, M., & Rejkuba, M. 2009, MNRAS, 395, 126
- Ibata, R. A., Lewis, G. F., McConnachie, A. W., et al. 2014, ApJ, 780, 128
- Illingworth, G. D., Magee, D., Oesch, P. A., et al. 2013, ApJS, 209, 6
- Irwin, M. J., Ferguson, A. M. N., Ibata, R. A., Lewis, G. F., & Tanvir, N. R. 2005, ApJ, 628, L105
- Jablonka, P., Tafelmeyer, M., Courbin, F., & Ferguson, A. M. N. 2010, A&A, 513, AA78
- Johnston, K. V., Bullock, J. S., Sharma, S., et al. 2008, ApJ, 689, 936
- Kniazev A. Y., Grebel E. K., Pustilnik S. A., Pramskij A. G.,
- Kniazeva T. F., Prada F., Harbeck D., 2004, AJ, 127, 704
- Koda, J., Yagi, M., Yamanoi, H., & Komiyama, Y. 2015, ApJ, 807, L2
- Kroupa, P. 2001, MNRAS, 322, 231
- McConnachie, A. W., Irwin, M. J., Ibata, R. A., et al. 2009, Nature, 461, 66
- McConnachie, A. W. 2012, AJ, 144, 4
- Martín-Navarro, I., Trujillo, I., Knapen, J. H., Bakos, J., & Fliri, J. 2014, MNRAS, 441, 2809

- Martínez-Delgado D., Peñarrubia J., Gabany R. J., Trujillo I., Majewski S. R., Pohlen M., 2008, ApJ, 689, 184
- Martínez-Delgado D., et al., 2010, AJ, 140, 962
- McConnachie, A. W., Ferguson, A. M. N., Irwin, M. J., et al. 2010, ApJ, 723, 1038
- Merritt, A., van Dokkum, P., & Abraham, R. 2014, ApJ, 787, L37 Mihos, J. C., Harding, P., Spengler, C. E., Rudick, C. S., &
 - Feldmeier, J. J. 2013, ApJ, 762, 82
- Patterson, F. S. 1940, Harvard College Observatory Bulletin, 914,
- Paturel G., Petit C., Prugniel P., Theureau G., Rousseau J.,
- Brouty M., Dubois P., Cambrésy L., 2003, A&A, 412, 45 Peacock, M. B., Strader, J., Romanowsky, A. J., & Brodie, J. P.
- 2015, ApJ, 800, 13
- Planck Collaboration, Ade, P. A. R., Aghanim, N., et al. 2014, A&A, 571, A1
- Pillepich, A., Vogelsberger, M., Deason, A., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 444, 237
- Pohlen M., Trujillo I., 2006, A&A, 454, 759
- Purcell, C. W., Bullock, J. S., & Zentner, A. R. 2007, ApJ, 666, 20
- Sandin, C. 2014, A&A, 567, A97
- Sandin, C. 2015, A&A, 577, A106
- Seigar, M. S. 2011, ISRN Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2011, 725697
- Sersic, J. L. 1968, Cordoba, Argentina: Observatorio Astronomico, 1968
- Slater, C. T., Harding, P., & Mihos, J. C. 2009, PASP, 121, 1267
- Tanaka, M., Chiba, M., Komiyama, Y., Guhathakurta, P., & Kalirai, J. S. 2011, ApJ, 738, 150
- Tissera, P. B., White, S. D. M., & Scannapieco, C. 2012, MNRAS, 420, 255
- Tissera, P. B., Beers, T. C., Carollo, D., & Scannapieco, C. 2014, MNRAS, 439, 3128
- Trujillo, I., & Bakos, J. 2013, MNRAS, 431, 1121
- van Dokkum, P. G., Abraham, R., & Merritt, A. 2014, ApJ, 782, L24
- Vazdekis, A., Sánchez-Blázquez, P., Falcón-Barroso, J., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 404, 1639
- Watkins, L. L., Evans, N. W., & An, J. H. 2010, MNRAS, 406, 264
- Watkins, A. E., Mihos, J. C., Harding, P., & Feldmeier, J. J. 2014, ApJ, 791, 38
- Zacharias, N., Finch, C., Girard, T., et al. 2009, VizieR Online Data Catalog, 1315,
- Zackrisson, E., de Jong, R. S., & Micheva, G. 2012, MNRAS, 421, 190